

Criteria Determined By Redistricting Committee

Balance rural/urban population with each district (7 votes)
Equally sized districts, physical size (4 votes)
Less cohesiveness-balance (4 votes)
Political balance in each district (3 votes)
Geography (2 votes)
Cities and communities – need not be divided (2 votes)
Geographical balance in each district (1 vote)
Community of Interest (1 vote)
Topography (0 votes)
Access to supervisor (0 votes)

Synopsis of Supervisor's Comments from May 23, 2011 BOS Special Meeting

Carre Brown:

Constituents would like Pine Ridge Subdivision in the 3rd District
Surprise me.

John McCowen:

Would like to see a range of options
Wants to see what the committee comes up with
2 coastal districts now; three would be fine

Kendall Smith:

Commented on criteria in County Counsel's memo
Piercy/ Whale Gulch identify with Humboldt County
2 supervisorial districts adjoining Humboldt County
Less change not more
No east/west

Dan Hamburg:

Communities of interest

John Pinches:

Status Quo
Doesn't see a problem with:
5 districts touching the coast
5 districts touching the national forest
Splitting Ukiah into 4 districts

Synopsis of Public Comment from May 25, 2011 in Fort Bragg

Nine individuals specifically provided public comment at the off site meeting held in Fort Bragg. The following is a list of comments made by those individuals.

Amy Winn:

More fluid understanding between Supervisors; liked the notion of horizontal
Liked the notion of horizontal supervisors districts
Wanted Supervisors to represent County as a whole

Ken Mathison:

Not represented very well by County of Mendocino
No services on the coast
Does not want to dilute the coastal population
Keep coastal communities of interest together.
Keep 4th and 5th as coastal districts
More districts touching coast weakens the coast

Did Not Provide Name:

Coast isn't being cheated
Tired of coast versus inland
Balance urban to rural

Jerry Stavelly:

Horizontal idea is bad
Cohesiveness

George Hollister:

Community of Interest
Issues affecting coast don't affect inland and vice versa
Feels Comptche is more coastal versus inland
Consider where people work, shop etc
1990 redistricting to put Ukiah in one district was a good idea
5th District OK the way it is
Want tweaking not revolution

Barbara Mathison:

Communities of interest important
Keep coast together
Geographic divide is the coastal range

Richard Shoemaker:

Supervisors educated each other about issues in different districts
Community of Interest most important, this was reason for the 1990 redistricting
Potential growth for areas use UVAP

Kendall Smith:

What does community of interest mean? Question was directed to Committee.
Cleone and Westport should be coastal.

Dave Jensen:

Alliances and groups in current districts.
Stay as close to Staff Plan A as you can
Watering down the coast will make us more disenfranchised
Anderson/LeRoy proposal will give us a 4 – 1 split
Get back to communities of interest.

Synopsis of Public Comment from June 1, 2011 in Willits

Bruce Burton:

Keep cities whole
Ridgewood and subdivision bound by Muir Mill Road and Black Bart Drive should be in the 3rd District.

Chris Neary:

Liked Staff Plan A
Involved in 1990 redistricting process; staff tried to fix community of interest problems
Concerned with Anomaly's
Laytonville should be the 3rd District
Potter Valley should be in first
Ukiah District makes sense
Likes supervisors coming from city council

Synopsis of Public Comment from June 8, 2011 meeting in BOS Chambers

Carre Brown:

Constituents would like Pine Ridge subdivision into the 3rd District
Hopland – What majority of community wants should be considered.
The questioning of supervisors and comment from them was stopped when third supervisor entered the chambers.

John Pinches:

Liked Elliott 3
Keep communities together.
Feels it confuses people to split up cities.
The questioning of supervisors and comment from them was stopped when third supervisor entered the chambers

Susan Knopf:

Keep Ukiah in one district.

Jack Cox:

Addressed the fact that his ranch/residence was in the 5th District. Feels that his community of interest lies with the 1st District.

Norman DeVall:

Thought Elliot 3 was the most realistic proposal. This proposal has two coastal districts.
Thought three coastal districts would be a good idea.

Tyrell Hopkins:

Thought Elliot 3 was the best proposal. Kept Spy Rock in one district

Synopsis of Public Comment from June 15, 2011

Diane Pauli:

Does not like Crane/Mayfield versions
Likes Elliott 3
Likes Anderson/LeRoy
Look at the communities of interest

Jane Persons:

Likes Elliott 3
Keep the boundaries as close to the same as you can
Don't put your personal stamp on this.

Synopsis of Public Comment from the June 22, 2011 meeting in Point Arena

Bill Hay:

Wanted to know census numbers
Very little representation for the coast now
3rd District shouldn't be involved in the coast
Wants to do his own census

Val Muchowski:

Representing the League of Women Voters
Anderson/LeRoy map does not represent coast with 3rd District
Delighted that public comment is being considered in this process
Likes school districts on map

Joe Riboli:

Favors two districts touching the coast
3rd District is huge in the Anderson/LeRoy map

Bill Meyers:

Maps are not unreasonable
County as a whole is more important
Two districts better than three districts on the coast
Wants to squeeze 5th District closer to the coast

Randy Burke:

Favors three districts touching the coast
Split districts using watersheds

Lauren Sinnott:

Likes smaller 4th District on Anderson/LeRoy map
Wanted to know how the 3rd District felt about process
Coast versus inland is overrated
Our world is Elk south
Likes both maps

Synopsis of Public Comment from the July 6, 2011 meeting

Thad VanBuren

Westport Municipal Advisory Council
Westport is linked with Fort Bragg, culturally, service wise, friends.
Support's Option A and Option B.
Why was Option A shelved?
Minutes are terse
Not enough information on the website regarding public comment

Becky Bowen:

Representing the league of Women Voters
Likes the public nature of meetings
Likes the information available on the website